

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,459	05/16/2007	Paul Wallace	14113-00040-US	1128	
	7590 06/20/201 BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 2207			CLARK, GREGORY D		
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1786		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/20/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/582,459	WALLACE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GREGORY CLARK	1786		

	GREGORY CLARK	1786	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	uter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The data- have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 X he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the constant of the properties of the properties	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reject	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. Mean For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claims; is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Attachment Below.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Mark Ruthkosky/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1785	/GREGORY CLARK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1786		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner, Art Unit 1786

Continuation from 11:

Applicant has amended claims 1, 13, 24 and 27 which narrows the scope of the second repeating unit in the oligomer or polymer which raises new issues which would require further consideration.